

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/948,124

APPLICATION NO.

10/09/97

REINHERZ

E

DFCI-522A

HM22/0620

ALICE O. CARROLL, ESQ., HAMILTON, BROOK,

REYNOLDS, P.C.,

TWO MILITIA DRIVE LEXINGTON MA 02421-4799 ZOS 20

BANSAL, G

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1642

20

DATE MAILED:

06/20/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	ation No. Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	0894814 Reinberzet o		zel al		
omoo non ounmary	Examiner GHSansa		Group Art Unit		
		<u> </u>			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE <u>-3-</u>	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAIL	ING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. 	within the statutory minim pire SIX (6) MONTHS fror	um of thirty (30) done the mailing date	ays will be considere of this communicatio	d timely. n .	
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/20/	00			•	
☐ This action is FINAL.					
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
♥ Claim(s) 41,45,53-63			is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)					
□ Claim(s)					
Claim(s) 41,45,53-63			is/are rejected.		
□ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————			is/are objected to.		
□ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————			are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
Application Papers		requirem	nent.		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. 	- ,,	• •			
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)					
☐ received in this national stage application from the Intern	ational Bureau (PCT F	Rule 1 7.2(a)).			
*Certified copies not received:			•		
Attachment(s)					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s)				
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892				mal Patent Application, PTO-152	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Other			
Office Action Summary					

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

*U.S. GPO: 1997-433-221/62717

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 08/948, 124

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment filed March 2, 2000 (Paper No: 16/C) is acknowledged. Accordingly, claims 41 and 45 have been amended. Claims 1-40, 42, 44, 46-52 have been cancelled. Claims 53-63 have been added. Claims 41, 45, and 53-63 are being examined.

Response to Arguments

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3A. Rejection of claims 41-45 under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph are withdrawn in view of the arguments and amendments presented by Applicant.
- 3B. Rejection of claims 41, 45 and new claims 53-63 under 35 U.S.C. 103 are maintained as set forth in the previous office action. Applicants arguments have been considered but are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Fearnhead does not teach a method of identifying agents that enhance caspase activity. Applicant states that they took Examiner's suggestion to incorporate the term "isolated" and indicate that as per Examiner's suggestion the "isolated caspase" should render it unobvious. Examiner has clearly only suggested the use of the term may render it unobvious. It appeares that Applicant discloses that a novel caspase was identified in the thymocytes by Applicant and therefore Examiner suggested that inclusion of an isolated thymocyte specific caspase may obviate the prior art rejection. However, upon further consideration in light of the amendments and arguments, it appears that the Fearnhead et al reference is still applicable as prior art. Fearnhead et al teach that caspases are involved in apoptosis in thymocytes. Fearnhead et al also teach an assay method whereby this caspase activity in thymocytes was assayedby a method wherein thymocytes were incubated with dexamethasone, thapsigargin, etoposide which are all activators of apoptosis, and wherein

Application/Control Number: 09/125, 376 Page 3

Art Unit: 1642

apoptosis was assessed by monitoring cell death. Simultaneously PARP hydrolysis, which was an indication os caspase activity was assessed. The conclusion that apoptosis was mediated by caspases in thymocytes was reached by Fearnhead et al by including inhibitors of caspases and assesing an inhibition of apoptosis in these cells. Thus, Fearnhead intrinsically teaches that agents such as dexmethasone, thapsigargin and others as mentioned can increase the activity of thymocyte caspases. In fact Fearnhead also indicates (page 287, column 1, last paragraph) that TLCK ws an agent that could function as an enhancer of apoptosis induced by most stimuli. Therefore, Fearnhead's teachings makes it obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to practice the claimed invention.

New Ground of rejection Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 41, 45, 53-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- A. Claim 41 and 45 are ambiguous in that it is not clear how a method of identifying an agent that enhances the activity of an isolated caspase (which suggests that the intrinsic catalytic activity of the enzyme is increases) is applicable to situations wherein the amounts of the caspases are increased (which would be an obvious result in that when the amount of an enzyme is increases the activity is automatically increased) as specied in the dependent claims 53-63.
- B. Claim 56 and 61 are indefinite in that it is not clear what isencompassed by "prolonged" and in comparison to what? Prolonged refers to duration of time whereas enhancement refers to an increase in activity? Clarification is requested.

Art Unit: 1642

C. Claims 57 and 62 are indefinite in that it is not clear how they each further limmit the scope of theeir respective parent claims.

- 6. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1640 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 308-4242 or (703) 305-3014.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Geetha P. Bansal whose telephone number is (703) 305-3955. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Thursdays from 7:00am to 4:30pm and alternate Fridays from 7:00am to 3:30pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Anthony Caputa, can be reached on (703) 308-4995.

8. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

MIN PATEN PUBLICATION

June 2, 2000